INTRODUCTION

Spondylolisthesis is forward slipping of upper vertebra in relation to its lower one which is classified by Wiltse and Rothman into dysplastic, Ishtmic, Degenerative, traumatic, pathologic and iatrogenic. The degree of slip is measured with Meyerding grades into I, II, III, IV and V or spondyloptosis. Meyerding grade I and II are low and Meyerding grade 3, 4, or 5 are high. Isthmic type 2 is most common in young individuals and its aetiology is uncertain, caustion appears to be multifactorial, while degenerative is most common in older age group. The incidence of spondylolisthesis is 5–6% in white males and 2–3% in females. The most common site is L5–S1 in 82%, L4–5 in 11%, L3–4 0.5% and L2–3 0.5% cases. Spondylolysis represents a weakness or stress fracture in the bony bridge that connect the upper with the lower facet joints and usually presents with backache aggravated by activity. A high pelvic incidence results in high shear forces at lumbosacral junction and is associated with slippage. In L5–S1 spondylolisthesis, it has been clearly demonstrated that an abnormal sacro-pelvic orientation can disturb sagittal balance of the spine. The factors related to the disability and physical functions are important in standing and can be studied using axially loaded magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The angular instability of the intervertebral disc also play a more important role than neurologcal compression in the pathogenesis and disability.

The treatment is conservative or operative, conservative treatment is generally recommended in patients when there is no neurologic deficit, pain is tolerable or short duration, improvement by exercise program or by brace treatment and high patient morbidity. This is better in low grade and less mobile slips, once this fails the operative treatment is better regardless of spondylolisthesis grade, disc height or mobility. When operative treatment is considered, options are osteosynthesis of the defect or spondylodesis with adjacent segment. Direct repair of the pars defect is a logical alternative to spondylodesis as it helps to preserve the motion segment and prevents abnormal stresses at the adjacent levels. Osteosynthesis with...
motion segment preservation can be achieved with Buck’s fusion, Morscher Screw and hook Fixation, sublaminar wiring (Scott’s Operation) and bone grafting without instrumentation. Laminoplasty can be done in grade I and II slips. Spondylosis, fusion with adjacent segment can be achieved by posterolateral lumbar fusion (PLF), posterior lumbar inter body fusion (PLIF) and transforaminal inter body fusion (TLIF), anterior and posterior combined fusion. Other methods are transpedicular transdiscal transcorporial screw fixation (TPTDTC), delta fixation, extreme lumbar inter body fusion (XLIF), axial lumbar inter body fusion (AXIA-LIF), anterior lumbar inter body fusion (ALIF) and Vertebrectomy (Gaine’s procedure).

The results are comparable if fusion is done with bone chips alone or with artificial cages but the cages provide better functional outcomes after posterolateral interbody fusion. The fusion can be done with reduction or without reduction. The spondyloolisthesis surgery is cost effective in long term.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Forty-five Patients requiring operation were included in the study and the patients unfit, unwilling or being treated conservatively excluded. The patients were operated by single spinal surgeon. A Performa was made for each patient and records were kept in a custom built Microsoft access database. The consent was obtained from the patients and Hospital Ethical Board.

Posterior approach was made for PLF, PLIF, TLIF, TPTDTC and Delta fusion. Anaesthetised patients were operated in prone position over pillows. All pedicle screws were placed using anatomical landmarks and confirmed under image intensifier for level and position. Anterior retroperitoneal approach was made for ALIF. Improvement in pain intensity, neurological status and union achieved after surgery was made for each patient and record was kept in a custom built database. The consent was obtained from the patients and Hospital Ethical Board.

Posterior approach was made for PLF, PLIF, TLIF, TPTDTC and Delta fusion. Anaesthetised patients were operated in prone position over pillows. All pedicle screws were placed using anatomical landmarks and confirmed under image intensifier for level and position. Anterior retroperitoneal approach was made for ALIF. Improvement in pain intensity, neurological status and union achieved after surgery was studied. Graphic rating scale (GRS) using descriptive terms such as mild, moderate, severe and pain as bad as it could be (excruciating) was used as a tool to describe patients pain, pre and post operatively. The neurologic deficit was described as per American Spinal Association Injury impairment scale (AIS), AIS-E normal, AIS-D less than normal, AIS-C antigravity power, AIS-B Power with gravity excluded and AIS-A complete deficit. Union was assessed clinically, functional radiography and thin slice computer tomography (thin slice 3-D CT).

RESULTS

Majority of 45 of our patient were in 4th and 5th decade (Table-1), with some male domination 24 (53.33%) male and 21 (46.66%) female. Pain was main indication for surgery which was severe in 33 (73.33%), excruciating in 6 (13.33%) and moderate in 6 (13.33%) cases. The neurological status was AIS-E in 34 (75.55%) cases while AIS-D in 9 (20%) and AIS-C in 2 (4.44%) patients. L5–S1 was affected in 26 (57.77%), L4–L5 in 13 (28.88%) and multi in 4 (8.88%) and L2–3 in 2 (4.44%) cases. Slip grade was measured with Meyerding grades, 18 (40%) patients had grade II, 15 (33.33%) had I, 9 (20%) had III and 3 (6.66%) had IV spondyloolisthesis.

Posterior approach was made in 43 (95.55%) and anterior in 2 (4.44%) patients. Posterior lumbar inter-body (PLIF) fusion was done in 24 (53.33%) patients, posterolateral fusion (PLF) in 5 (11.11%), transforaminal lumbar inter body fusion (TLIF) in 4 (8.88%), translaminar (TLFS) screw in 3 (6.6%), transpedicular transdiscal transcorporial (TPTDTC) screw in 3 (6.66%), Delta fixation in 1 (2.22%) and ALIF was done in 2 (4.44%) cases. Pedicle screw fixation (PSF) was done in 32 (71%) cases, AO fixator intermas in 6 (13.33%) and 4.5 mm titanium screw were used in others. The patients were allowed to sit on first postoperative day. They were gradually allowed to mobilise depending upon their neurological status.

Average follow up was 2 years and 5 months, max 5 years and minimum 6 months. Pain relief was achieved in 82% of patients, 29 (64.44%) patient had no pain, 8 (17.77%) had mild, 5 (11.11%) moderate and 3 (6.66%) had severe pain (Table-2). Neurological improvement was noticed in 60% in 11 (24.44%) of patients with deficit (Table-3). We achieved union in 41 (91.11%) cases. We did not have any deterioration of neurological status. We had 2 (4.44%) implant failure and 1 (2.22%) wound infection.

Table-1: Age distribution of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Decade</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61–70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71–80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-2: Pain relief (Graphic Rating Scale)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain (GRS)</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excruciating</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-3: Neurological Improvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIS-Score</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIS-C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS-D</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS-E</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The clinical outcome in spondyloolisthesis is closely related to the attainment of solid fusion. Compared with patients who are treated non-operatively, patients in whom degenerative spondyloolisthesis and associated
spinal stenosis are treated surgically maintain substantially greater pain relief and improvement in function for longer period.\textsuperscript{23} We achieved fusion in 41 (91.11\%) patients. Although there are several surgical options, the decision to proceed with decompression and fusion depends on the patient’s presenting symptoms and degree of instability. Symptomatic patients often present with severe pain, neurologic deficits, or deformity. We achieved significant pain relief in 82\% patients.

The lesion in spondylolysis is a non-union that follows a fatigue fracture of pars interarticularis. Direct repair of the pars defect is a logical alternative to fusion as it helps to preserve the motion segment and prevents abnormal stresses at the adjacent levels. Buck’s fusion can be done in spondylolysis with or without grade 1 spondylolisthesis.\textsuperscript{24}

Posterolateral pedicle screw-rod fixation has improved rates of arthrodesis compared with traditional in situ fusions.\textsuperscript{25} There is some reduction with pedicle screw and circumferential fusion at L5–S1, achieved entirely through a posterior approach or through separate anterior and posterior approaches.\textsuperscript{3} PLIF provides spondylosis with decompression of the canal and 360 degree fusion with single posterior approach. We did PLIF in 24 (53.33\%) patients and attained some reduction during posterior only 360 degree fusion (Figure-1). Dynamic stabilisation is another method of treatment in low grade slips by intraspinous and pedicle screw-based devices. Evidence to date indicates that Grade II or larger slips requiring decompression should be fused.\textsuperscript{26}

We did PLIF with TPTDTC screw fixation in 3 patients with high grade slips (Figure-2) and Delta fixation in 1.

The surgical management of high-grade spondylolisthesis in adults remains challenging and controversial. PLIF provides good fusion rate with some reduction but cage migrations and lower fusion rates as compared to ALIF are documented.\textsuperscript{28} Modern-day treatment of high-grade spondylolisthesis usually involves some reduction of the spondylolisthesis along with pedicle screw instrumentation and circumferential fusion at L5–S1, achieved entirely through a posterior approach or through separate anterior and posterior approaches.\textsuperscript{3} We did ALIF with combined posterior fusion in 1 case (Figure-3). The fibular strut grafts can also be placed through an anterior approach as part of an anterior/posterior procedure, or via a posterior approach as part of a posterior-only procedure.

High grade spondylolisthesis can be fused with or without reduction of the spondylolisthesis, during reduction the exiting nerve root is at danger. High grade slips can be treated with fusion without reduction of the spondylolisthesis, by two cancellous bone screws inserted bilaterally through the pedicles of the lower vertebra into the body of the upper slipped vertebra.\textsuperscript{17,27}

CONCLUSION

Surgical procedure for Spondylolisthesis must be individualised. Young patients with spondylolysis can treated with osteosynthesis and sparing of motion segment. Fusion is must in symptomatic cases but reduction is controversial. We did not aim for reduction by using reduction devices but PLIF provides satisfactory results in majority of low to moderate cases with some correction. TPTDTC and delta fixation is
good procedure for severe slips in adult but exiting nerve root must be identified to avoid injury.
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